

CITY OF EAST PALO ALTO, CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS – ENGINEERING DIVISION

ADDENDUM 2

East Palo Alto Trash Capture Device Project CIP-SD-09

General Information and Questions

Question 1: Would the City allow for a one-week extension for proposals?

Answer 1: Yes, the submission date has been extended to August 01, 2024 before 2:00 PM.

Question 2: The RFP states that "To be considered, proposals must be received at the address in the above paragraph by 2:00PM on July 25, 2024", however it also states as bullet point No. 2 that proposals may also be submitted via electronic mail. Could you please confirm that should we choose to submit via email that the 3 physical copies requested in bullet point No. 1 do not need to be submitted to the City Address provided?

Answer 2: Consultants who choose to submit hard copies only, forgoing the digital submission, should make sure that their hard copies are delivered before 2:00 PM on August 01, 2024, to the address specified in the RFP. Alternatively, if you opt for the digital submission method, your proposal must be received in our inbox before 2:00 PM on the same date, August 01, 2024.

Question 3: Can the City provide a working hydraulic model? What software is the model built in?

Answer 3: The conceptual designs were developed by EOA, in collaboration with Schaff and Wheeler, as part of a regional study funded by the SMCWPPP. Schaff and Wheeler developed the preliminary planning-level treatment flow calculations. The methodology described in the MRP, known as the rationale method, was utilized to formulate these preliminary flow calculations. Below is a table showing the parameters used in this formula:

Drainage Area (A)	Runoff Coefficient C	1-yr, 1-hr Intensity (i)	Treatment Flow (Q)
772	0.56	0.33	142.67

So, the City doesn't have detailed hydraulic modeling for this project. The selected consultant will need to create new hydraulic modeling for the installation of the new trash capture device.

Question 4: Does the City currently have trash capture devices that they maintain? Do you currently sub out maintenance or do you have in house staff maintain it?

Answer 4: Yes, we have a trash capture device installed at the O'Connor Pump Station. The City of EPA is responsible for maintaining and operating this device.

Question 5: If the City currently has trash capture devices, can you share what type they are? E.g. vortex separator, net, basket, combination?

Answer 5: Yes, it's a large trash screen barrier at the O'Conner pump station near San Fransicquito Creek.

Question 6: Does the City anticipate completion of a Preliminary Environmental Analysis Report (PEAR) before settling on the appropriate NEPA environmental review document?

Answer 6: It is not yet clear whether Caltrans Local Assistance will review the project. Our Cooperative has indicated that the project will be funded with State funds, but they have not provided clarity on their role in the environmental review process. Caltrans want to review 60% and 95% plans.

Question 7: Will the project be processed for NEPA through Caltrans Local Assistance?

Answer 7: We need to comply with the NEPA as the they want to preserve the option of utilizing federal funds for this project. See the Coop Agreement

Question 8: Is the summer 2025 construction start deadline predicated on a Caltrans funding deadline or the MRP permit 100% deadline, or both?

Answer 8: The deadline is dictated by the NPDES permit, and Caltrans does not have funding deadline - See answer 11 of Addendum 1

Question 9: Has a confirmation of the Caltrans drainage system been performed, and if not, is the consultant expected to do so for the CIA grant Water Board conceptual concurrence?

Answer 9: No assessment of the drainage system's performance has been undertaken to date. The selected consultant will guide the process to ensure agreement on the concept.

Question 10: Has conceptual concurrence from the Water Board been achieved for compliance with the CIA grant? If not, is the consultant expected to facilitate this?

Answer 10: Not achieved, questions 9 and 10 are variations of the same question – refer to Answer 9

Anwar Mirza
Anwar Mirza
City Engineer
City of East Palo Alto

All other terms and conditions remain unchanged.